UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,161	09/08/2003	In Tae Hwang	2101-3159C1C1	1315
	4 7590 09/10/2008 E, HONG, DEGERMAN, KANG & SCHMADEKA		EXAMINER	
660 S. FIGUEROA STREET			SHAND, ROBERTA A	
Suite 2300 LOS ANGELES, CA 90017		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Occurrence	10/656,161	HWANG, IN TAE					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Roberta A. Shand	2616					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ju</u>	ne 2008.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-39</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-39</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 2616

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 4-6, 8, 9, 11-14, 16-20, 23, 25-33 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hamalainen (U.S. 6434133 B1).
- 3. Regarding claim 4, Hamalainen teaches an apparatus having a radio access standard protocol architecture, wherein the improvement comprises a RLC layer having a transmitting transparent (col. 2, lines 27-28) mode RLC entity that converts service data units received from an upper layer through a transparent service access point to protocol data units which are submitted to a lower (col. 2, lines 23-50).
- 4. Regarding claims 5, 9 and 17, Hamalainen teaches (col. 2, lines 23-35) the PDUs outputted by the transmitting transparent mode entity is submitted to the lower layer thorough one of a common control channel, a dedicated control channel, a dedicated traffic channel, a shared control channel, a broadcast control channel, and a paging control channel.

Art Unit: 2616

5. Regarding claim 6, Hamalainen (col. 2, lines 23-35) the transmitting transparent mode entity includes a segmentation block that segments the SDU to the PDU without adding headers.

- 6. Regarding claim 8, Hamalainen teaches (fig. 1) an apparatus having a radio access standard protocol architecture, wherein the improvement comprises a RLC layer having a receiving transparent (col. 2, lines 27-28) mode RLC entity that coverts PDUs received through configured logical channels from a lower layer to SDUs, which are delivered to an upper layer through a transparent service access point (col. 2, lines 23-50).
- 7. Regarding claims 11, 18, 27, 28, 30 and 32, Hamalainen teaches (col. 2, lines 35-50) a reassembly block coupled to the reception buffer that reassembles the buffered PDUs to SDUs, which are delivered to the upper layer through the transparent service access point (Hamalainen teaches sequence numbers which inherently means reassembly and framing of the unit is required).
- 8. Regarding claim 12, Hamalainen teaches an apparatus having a radio access standard protocol architecture, wherein the improvement comprises a RLC layer having a transmitting unacknowledged (col. 2, lines 27-28) mode RLC entity that converts SDUs received from an upper layer through an unacknowledged service access point to PDUs, which are submitted to a lower layer (col. 2, lines 23-50).

- 9. Regarding claim 13, Hamalainen teaches (fig. 1) the PDUs outputted by the transmitting unacknowledged mode entity is submitted to the lower layer thorough one of a common control channel, a dedicated control channel, a dedicated traffic channel, a shared control channel, a broadcast control channel, and a paging control channel.
- 10. Regarding claim 14, Hamalainen teaches (col. 2, lines 51-61) the transmitting unacknowledged mode RLC entity includes: a segmentation and concatenation block that at least one of segments and concatenates the SDUs to PDUs; and a framing block that frames the PDUs.
- 11. Regarding claim 16, Hamalainen teaches an apparatus having a radio access standard protocol architecture, wherein the improvement comprises a RLC layer having a receiving unacknowledged (col. 2, lines 27-28) mode RLC entity that coverts PDUs received through configured logical channels from a lower layer to SDUs, which are delivered to an upper layer through an unacknowledged service access point (col., lines 23-50).
- 12. Regarding claim 19, Hamalainen teaches (col. 2, lines 51-61) the receiving unacknowledged mode entity further comprises: an error detection block that detects presence of error in each PDU; and a duplication detection block that detects a presence of duplicate PDU without error within the PDUs.
- 13. Regarding claim 20, Hamalainen teaches an apparatus having a radio access standard protocol architecture, wherein the improvement comprises a RLC layer having an acknowledged

(col. 2, lines 27-28) mode RLC entity that converts SDUs received from an upper layer through an acknowledged service access point to PDUs, which are submitted to a lower layer through at least one logical channel (col. 2, lines 23-50).

- 14. Regarding claims 23 and 33, Hamalainen teaches an apparatus having a radio access standard protocol architecture, wherein the improvement comprises a RLC layer having an acknowledged (col. 2, lines 27-28) mode RLC entity that coverts PDUs received through configured logical channels from a lower layer to SDUs, which are delivered to an upper layer through an acknowledged service access point (col. 2, lines 23-50).
- 15. Regarding claim 25, Hamalainen teaches an apparatus having a radio access standard protocol architecture, wherein the improvement comprises a RLC layer having a transparent (col. 2, lines 27-28) mode RLC entity having a transmitting side that converts SDUs received from an upper layer through a transparent service access point to PDUs, which are submitted to a lower layer, and a receiving side that coverts protocol data units PDUs received through configured logical channels (fig. 1) from the lower layer to SDUs, which are delivered to the upper layer through a transparent service access point; an unacknowledged (col. 2, lines 27-28) mode RLC entity having a transmitting side that converts SDUs received from the upper layer through an unacknowledged service access point to PDUs, which are submitted to the lower layer, and a receiving side that coverts PDUs received through configured logical channels (fig. 1) from the lower layer to SDUs, which are delivered to the upper layer through an unacknowledged service access point; and an acknowledged (col. 2, lines 27-28) mode RLC entity having a transmitting

side that converts SDUs received from the upper layer through an acknowledged service access point to PDUs, which are submitted to a lower layer through at least one logical channel, and a receiving side that coverts PDUs received through configured logical channels (fig. 1) from the lower layer to SDUs, which are delivered to the upper layer through an acknowledged service access point (col. 2, lines 23-50.

- 16. Regarding claim 26, Hamalainen teaches (col. 2, lines 51-61) the RLC layer provides following functions: segmentation and reassembly; concatenation; padding; error correction; duplicate detection; flow control; and error detection and recovery.
- 17. Regarding claim 29, Hamalainen teaches (col. 2, lines 51-61) the unacknowledged mode RLC entity provide segmentation and reassembly, concatenation, transfer of user data, and SDU discard functions to support unacknowledged data transfer service.
- 18. Regarding claim 31, Hamalainen teaches (col. 2, lines 51-61) the acknowledged mode RLC entity provides segmentation and reassembly, concatentation, transfer of user data, error correction, in-sequence delivery, duplicate detection, flow control, error detection and recovery, and SDU discard functions to support acknowledged data transfer.
- 19. Regarding claim 39, Hamalainen teaches (col. 2, lines 23-50) the dedicated control entity uses lower layer services of unacknowledged service access point, acknowledged service access point, and transparent service access point.

Art Unit: 2616

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 20. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 21. Claims 7, 10, 15, 21, 22, 24 and 34-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamalainen in view of Widegren (U.S. 6374112 B1).
- 22. Regarding claims 7, 10 and 15, Johansson does not explicitly teach the transmitting transparent mode entity further includes a transmission buffer.
- 23. Widegren teaches (col. 14, lines 15-34) the transmitting transparent mode entity further includes a transmission buffer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hamalainen to include Widegren's buffer to provide flow control within the system.
- 24. Regarding claim 21, Hamalainen teaches the acknowledged mode RLC entity includes: a segmentation/concatenation block that at least one of segments and concatenates the SDUs to PDUs (col. 2, lines 51-61); a block which adds headers to the PDUs (col. 2, lines 35-50);
- 25. Hamalainen does not explicitly teach a retransmission buffer that stores the PDUs, the PDUs being retransmitted based on positive or negative acknowledgements of individual PDUs by a peer RLC entity; and a multiplexer that multiplexes PDUs from the retransmission buffer that need to be retransmitted.

- 26. Widegren teaches (col. 14, lines 15-34) a retransmission buffer that stores the PDUs, the PDUs being retransmitted based on positive or negative acknowledgements of individual PDUs by a peer RLC entity; and a multiplexer that multiplexes PDUs from the retransmission buffer that need to be retransmitted (col. 7, lines 18-40). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hamalainen to include Widegren's buffer to provide flow control within the system.
- 27. Regarding claim 22, Hamalainen teaches (col. 2, lines 51-61) the acknowledged mode RLC entity further comprises a control block that manages flow control based on status information.
- Regarding claim 24, Widegren teaches a reception buffer that buffers the PDUs (col. 14, lines 15-34); a deframing block to deframe the PDUs; a control unit that detects a presence of an error in each PDU, wherein if there is an error in the PDU, the control unit requests a peer RLC to retransmit the PDU and detects a duplicate PDU and provides a status information to the peer RLC (col. 7, lines 18-40); and a reassembly block the reassembles the PDUs to SDUs (Hamalainen teaches sequence numbers which inherently means reassembly of the unit is required).
- 29. Regarding claims 34-38, Widegren teaches (col. 11, line 31–41) wherein the broadcast control entity is used to deliver RRC services, which are required at a general control service access point.

Art Unit: 2616

Conclusion

30. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Roberta A. Shand whose telephone number is 571-272-3161.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00am-5:30pm.

31. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Firmin Backer can be reached on 571-272-3155. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

32. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Roberta A. Shand

/R. A. S./

Examiner, Art Unit 2616

/FIRMIN BACKER/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2616